



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/726,377	10/04/96	SCHERPBIER		Α	1096.001A	
Г		LM02/0903	\neg	EXAMINER		
JOHN L ROGITZ 750 B STREET			•	PIERCE,	Ι	
SUITE 3120				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SAN DIEGO CA	92101			2756	5	
				DATE MAILED:	09/03/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/726,377 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Ivan Pierce

Group Art Unit 2756

Scherpbier



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 4, 1996							
☐ This action is FINAL .	·						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 m longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obta 37 CFR 1.136(a).	od for response will cause the						
Disposition of Claim							
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration						
Claim(s)							
	is/are rejected.						
☐ Claim(s)							
Claims are su	bject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exami							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approve	veddisapproved.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
received.							
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)							
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11:	 0(a)						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic phonty under 35 0.3.C. § 11.	<i>∍(e).</i>						
Attachment(s)							
 ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 							
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413							
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
,							
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES							

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2756

This application has been examined. Original claims 1-20 are pending. The objections and rejections cited are as stated below:

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: -- COLLABORATIVE WEB BROWSER CONTROLLER -- or something similar.

- 2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Logan et al.

Logan et al., in U.S. Patent 5781909, discloses a system for allowing a pilot or *supervisory* computer to cause a passenger or *information display* computer including a *information display* Web browser to display a predetermined or *stored* Web page, comprising: at the *supervisory* computer, logic means for transmitting the uniform resource locator (URL) of the *stored* page to a control or *remote computer* site, *remote computer* being a member of the Web; at the *remote* computer site, logic means for retrieving the *stored* page; at the *information display* computer, logic means for transmitting a code or *request* to the *remote* computer; at the *remote* computer,

Application/Control Number: 08726377 Page 3

Art Unit: 2756

logic means for determining whether the *request* is valid, and if so, transmitting in response an active control to the *information display* computer; and logic means for causing the active control to cooperate with the *information display* Web browser to download to the *stored* Web page from the *remote* computer site, col 3 line 31 - col 6 line 5, FIG 1. The *supervisory* computer may send hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP) documents, applets, imbedded image data, and related files as control information to the *kiosk* computer, which displays stored documents requested from the *remote* computers. If a *kiosk* computer requests a URL from a *remote* computer, the *remote* computer validates the request using control information from the *supervisory* computer, enabling the transfer of updated pages to the *kiosk* computer SUMMARY, col 19 line 48 - col 20 line 25. By this rationale, claim 15 is rejected.

- Logan et al. additionally disclose the system of claim 15, wherein the *supervisor* computer includes a *supervisor* Web browser, and the system further comprises: at the *supervisor* computer, logic means for receiving an active control or *message* from the *remote* site; and logic means for causing the *message* of the *supervisor* computer to cooperate with the *supervisor* Web browser to download the *stored* Web page from the *remote* site, SUMMARY, col 19 line 48 col 20 line 25. If the *supervisor* computer receives a request for a URL, the *supervisor* computer web browser sends a *message* to a *remote* computer to validate the web page. By this rationale, claim 16 is rejected.
- 5. Logan et al. additionally disclose the system of claim 16, further comprising: logic means for encoding hyperlinks from the Web page to other pages on the Web to point to the *remote* site

Application/Control Number: 08726377 Page 4

Art Unit: 2756

prior to downloading the Web page to the *supervisor* computer; and logic means for disabling hyperlinks from the Web page to other pages on the Web prior to downloading the Web page to the kiosk computer, col 6 line 55 - col 9 line 23. The *supervisor* computer encodes and disables hyperlinks by rewriting HTML pages being displayed on the *kiosk* computer. By this rationale, claim 17 is rejected.

- 6. Logan et al. additionally disclose the system of claim 17, further comprising logic means for determining whether the *supervisor* computer has accessed a secondary or *contents* page, and if so, causing the active control message to the *kiosk* computer to cooperate with the *kiosk* Web browser to display the *contents* page, FIG 1, col 14 line 6 col 16 line 56. Web *content* pages are linked to the kiosk computer's *attract* or root page. By this rationale, claim 18 is rejected.
- Logan et al. additionally disclose the system of claim 18, wherein the *kiosk* computer includes a data display, and the system further comprises: logic means for enabling the *supervisor* computer to select a hyperlink on the Web page; logic means for decoding the URL associated with the hyperlink; logic means for retrieving the associated Web page to the *remote* site; logic means for causing the active control at the *kiosk* computer to cooperate with the *kiosk* Web browser to download the associated Web page from the *remote* site; and logic means for reporting to the *supervisor* computer the status of the *kiosk* computer display, col 3 line 31 col 16 line 56, FIG 1. The *supervisor* computer decodes and retrieves Web pages for transfer to the *kiosk* computer using control files. The *kiosk* computer reports display status to the *supervisor* computer by a SLIP/PPP connection. By this rationale, claim 19 is rejected.

Application/Control Number: 08726377 Page 5

Art Unit: 2756

- 8. Logan et al. additionally disclose the system of claim 19 in combination with a computer network, ABSTRACT, FIG 1. The system is network based. By this rationale, claim 20 is rejected.
- 9. Claims 1-14 are media and method claims which corellate to the rejected system claims 15-20 and are rejected on the same basis.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

These include the following U.S. Patents:

5778368	Hogan et al.	7/7/98	filed 5/3/96
5765152	Erickson	6/9/98	filed 10/13/95
5754830	Butts et al.	5/19/98	filed 4/1/96
5706502	Foley et al.	1/6/98	filed 3/26/96

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ivan Pierce at telephone number (703) 305-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Asta, can be reached on (703) 305-3817. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-5359. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2756

ICP/AUG 27, 1998

Wom Auxil
Ivan C. Pierce, III

PATENT EXAMINER

Group 2700

FRANK J. ASTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700